

20030112.qrp v02_n798.qrl.20030112

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 19:03:14 EST
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: QRP-L digest 2798

QRP-L Digest 2798

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [144507] Re: paypal probss
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 2) [144508] Re: OT paypal probss
by "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
- 3) [144509] Re: OT NIMH Batteries
by Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
- 4) [144510] RE: Crossover Distortion....fixed one problem...created another
by Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
- 5) [144511] FT - McElroy P-500 Bug
by David Gauding <david.gauding@bbs.galilei.com>
- 6) [144512] Karl invents the network
by Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
- 7) [144513] Re: paypal probss
by "John McClain" <digi2@earthlink.net>
- 8) [144514] COBRA "SPITFIRE" (SCHEMATIC WANTED)
by Earl Murphy <earlmurf@telusplanet.net>
- 9) [144515] Re: probss
by "Alan Kaul, W6RCL" <alan.kaul@worldnet.att.net>
- 10) [144516] Ten Tec OMNI VI+ vs V?
by "Doc K0EVZ" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
- 11) [144517] Re: CQ FP
by "Juan Ferrari" <puntrad@usa.net>
- 12) [144518] QHB #9 articles posted on "Extra" wesbite
by "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@erols.com>
- 13) [144519] Klez..h@MM
by Ted Kell <tedkell@ev1.net>
- 14) [144520] QRP HoF- additional Info
by "Kenneth Evans" <w4du@attbi.com>
- 15) [144521] QRP 2 meters: HTX-200
by Thomas Upton <stjohn@ocsnet.net>
- 16) [144522] Redux - Rock-Mite Beacon w/ RMK @ ~ 7084 kHz
by Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
- 17) [144523] 40m QRSS Beacon FOX
by John R Kirby <n3aaz-qrp@juno.com>
- 18) [144524] Re: probss
by W2AGN <w2agn@w2agn.net>
- 19) [144525] Re: Karl invents the network

- by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
- 20) [144526] WBL
by "Barry" <barry@w2bj.com>
- 21) [144527] Any fort smith sidekick building cautions?
by john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
- 22) [144528] Re: Redux - Rock-Mite Beacon w/ RMK @ ~ 7084 kHz
by "Stephen Yates" <aa5tb@arrl.net>
- 23) [144529] My new HB Rig...
by k4vib@att.net
- 24) [144530] W6TOY?
by ARDUJENSKI@aol.com
- 25) [144531] Re: My new HB Rig...
by "Joe Martin" <km5cw@arrl.net>
- 26) [144532] Re: Redux - Rock-Mite Beacon w/ RMK @ ~ 7084 kHz
by ae5x@netscape.net (John Harper AE5X)
- 27) [144533] Homebrewers - New Book Available
by "Bill Kelsey - N8ET - Kanga US" <kanga@bright.net>
- 28) [144534] OR Falls all counties QRP
by Larry Cahoon <lejek@erols.com>
- 29) [144535] Experimental Methods in RF Design
by "Russ Carpenter" <russ@natworld.com>
- 30) [144536] Re: Homebrewers - New Book Available
by "Chris Trask" <chistrask@earthlink.net>
- 31) [144537] Re: My new HB Rig...
by "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
- 32) [144538] Re: Karl invents the network
by William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>
- 33) [144539] Re: Any fort smith sidekick building cautions?
by "Tony Fishpool" <tony@g4wif.fsnet.co.uk>
- 34) [144540] sniping
by Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
- 35) [144541] Re: sniping
by Fred Ringwald <fred@ringwald.org>
- 36) [144542] Sniping, tolerance
by "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
- 37) [144543] [OT] What *IS* QRP?
by Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
- 38) [144544] Re: Sniping, tolerance
by Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
- 39) [144545] Next 'latest project'
by Bob Liesenfeld <wb0poq@visi.com>
- 40) [144546] Re: [OT] What *IS* QRP?
by Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
- 41) [144547] Re: sniping
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
- 42) [144548] Here we go again
by Pete Burbank <plburbank@earthlink.net>
- 43) [144549] Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again

by "brian" <brian@iquest.net>
44) [144550] Re: Sniping, tolerance
by "Mark Andrews \ (KE4IOF\)" <KE4IOF@KE4IOF.com>
45) [144551] Re: Sniping, tolerance
by W2AGN <w2agn@w2agn.net>
46) [144552] FS: QRP Books
by "Bill Robbins" <billrobb@net-link.net>
47) [144553] Re: Sniping, tolerance
by "Dave Sjolin" <sjolin@swbell.net>
48) [144554] RE: Ten Tec OMNI VI+ vs V?
by "AI2Q" <ai2q@adelphia.net>
49) [144555] Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again
by "Garey Barrell" <k4oah@mindspring.com>
50) [144556] Training for those with weak minds
by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
51) [144557] Re: OT: Local Area Network LAN
by "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
52) [144558] Re: Here we go again
by Bill Stietenroth <k5zty@juno.com>
53) [144559] Re: Sniping, tolerance
by Dave Hottell <hottell@gulftel.com>
54) [144560] Re: Here we go again
by "Jim Stamper" <jstamper@shentel.net>
55) [144561] NTE 128 = 2n3553 ???
by Kevin L Anderson <k9iua@juno.com>
56) [144562] Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again
by Dave Hottell <hottell@gulftel.com>
57) [144563] Here we go again
by "KL7FDQ, Wayne Leman" <KL7FDQ@rangeweb.net>
58) [144564] Re: Here we go again
by "brian" <brian@iquest.net>
59) [144565] Re: [OT] What *IS* QRP?
by David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 19:40:10 -0500
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
To: <gbutzlaff@wi.rr.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144507] Re: paypal probss
Message-ID: <003501c2b9d3\$2b9331a0\$0300a8c0@charter.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I would have to ask how many people are foolish enough to authorize

outsiders to have automatic access to their bank accounts? I don't even let my BANK have that for my mortgage! I make the transfers to a special account that is the ONLY thing they have access to without INDIVIDUAL SPECIFIC authorization.

It amazes me how foolish some people are.

Maybe those letters from Nigeria DO get a lot of people!

Mike

> Mike, do you use PayPal? If someone were to harvest my PayPal account, which
> I keep at zero balance, the funds would be automatically transferred from my
> checking account to cover the Paypal request. The account would not clear
> for the number of working days it takes for that to happen. If my checking
> account were overdrawn, my credit union would transfer the necessary fees to
> cover the overdraft. Fortunately, I bank at a small community credit union
> that would likely let me know of the overdraft coverage by phone the day it
> happened, so I would at least have some warning that it had happened and be
> able to stop the transaction before it cleared. If the PayPal request were
> for an amount equal to or less than the balance in my checking account, it
> could clear before I ever knew my account was tapped or drained. I do not
> have credit card back-up for my PayPal account. Your statement that a bank
> account could not be drained is wrong, at least as it applies to my PayPal
> account. Thanks to Bob for the heads-up, as I fit the profile of someone
> that could be affected by the eBay/PayPal scam.
>
> Glenn Butzlaff, WE9K

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 19:41:24 -0500
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>

To: <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144508] Re: OT paypal probss
Message-ID: <004601c2b9d3\$6270e8c0\$0300a8c0@charter.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> In Arkansas you are out of luck, the banks will give you nothing, your
> money is gone. I would assume by drained, that he means they will put
> charges on it until it reaches its credit limit.
>
> To draw money from a bank account all you need is the account number,
the
> bank name, and the account name. I have had it done to me once.

Yep. And I'm sure people leave a few hundred dollars on their front
steps and tell the paperboy to take what they owe every week....

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 19:55:45 -0500
From: Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144509] Re: OT NIMH Batteries
Message-ID: <3E20BD11.2040308@adelphia.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I think it wouldn't be a problem as long as you are not rapid charging
them, because you don't have the temperature sensor or other
protection from overheating or overcharging.
Charge them at .1C (one tenth amp-hour capacity, usually for 12-14
hours), or take the cells out and use a seperate charger.

This is what I do with my Icom IC-02at with BP-4 battery case and
some 1600 milliamp hour NiMh AA cells. I take the cells out and charge
them. The NiMH cells certainly do hold a charge for a nice long while,
so I don't need to charge them often.

Telling you to only use Alkalines seems like a "CYA" thing to me.

73, Fran Km1z

>I have a Kenwood hand held that works just fine on Ni-Cad and NiMH battery
>packs. However when I purchased the separate battery holder so I could use
>my own rechargeable batteries the instructions said only to use
>Alkalines. The did not give a reason. I was not a happy camper on that one.

>73 de Larry.....WD3P
><http://www.wd3p.net/>

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 20:00:23 -0500
From: Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144510] RE: Crossover Distortion....fixed one problem...created another
Message-ID: <3E20BE27.6030906@adelphia.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Is pin 7 bypassed to ground? Try adding a capacitor from pin 7 to ground. Usually 47 or 100 mf does the trick.

The long leads shouldn't be a problem at audio freqs, unless it's
really long.

>If any of you have been following this...I left off a resistor in series with
>the output of the NE5532. The noise dropped way down...the signal came way
>up. Now the LM386 is howling like crazy. I have a 10uF cap between pins 1 & 8
>of the LM386 and when I remove that the howling goes to a high pitched
>whistle. I have all the customary components on the LM386 to prevent the
>feedback/oscillation....any suggestions anyone (it's built manhattan style so
>there are some longer than usual leads)??

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 19:01:35 -0600
From: David Gauding <david.gauding@bbs.galilei.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144511] FT - McElroy P-500 Bug
Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.0.20030111183021.00a252f0@bbs.galilei.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Howdy,

Looking for an interesting trade. Nothing in particular in mind. But, I already have more bugs that I can use.

Little story behind this one. I bought the P-500 from the original owner at a hamfest about ten years ago. It was a gift in 1940 with expectations that he would become a ham.

By the time WW II started he had yet to master CW, and the bug remained in its original wooden shipping box. Then he was drafted, trained as a radio operator and survived the war.

He used a Vibroplex Deluxe Lightning Bug on-board ship and that was what he preferred after getting a ticket. The P-500 (now 63 years old) was stored in its shipping box until I bought it.

I enjoy using it from time-to-time but am also attached to my old Vibroplex gear. <g>

Anyway, what have you OM?

Thanks,

de Dave, NF0R nf0r@slacc.com

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 20:18:47 -0500
From: Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144512] Karl invents the network
Message-ID: <3E20C277.1030109@adelphia.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My router was free. It's a 486-25 running Linux. Does NAT for 5 machines behind it on a peer to peer LAN through the house.

But normally I don't post such completely off topic information.

Fran Km1z Comptia A+, Network+

>I am using D-Link ethernet cards and they are great! Simple and
>they do what they should. So when I saw the \$39.95 Router was D-Link I
>bought it.

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 18:35:43 -0700
From: "John McClain" <digi2@earthlink.net>
To: <myetsko@insydesw.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144513] Re: paypal probss
Message-ID: <003a01c2b9da\$ed95c5e0\$6701a8c0@Laptop2>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

When I signed up with PayPal last year, they started sending me e-mails every week telling me that I should send them my checking account number so that they could upgrade my status to VERIFIED but it just didn't seem to make a whole lot of sense to give them access to my money so I never did as they requested. They finally gave up and stopped sending the e-mail.

John
K7SVV
k7svv@arrl.net
K1 #1378, K2 #2569, SST 20, Rock Mite 20, Rock Mite 40, Nor'Easter

----- Original Message -----
From: "Mike Yetsko" <myetsko@insydesw.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Saturday, January 11, 2003 5:40 PM
Subject: Re: paypal probss

> I would have to ask how many people are foolish enough to authorize
> outsiders to have automatic access to their bank accounts? I don't
even
> let my BANK have that for my mortgage! I make the transfers to a
special

> account that is the ONLY thing they have access to without
INDIVIDUAL
> SPECIFIC authorization.
>
> It amazes me how foolish some people are.
>
> Maybe those letters from Nigeria DO get a lot of people!
>
> Mike

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 18:44:38 -0700
From: Earl Murphy <earlmurf@telusplanet.net>
To: QRP-CANADA <qrp-canada@neale.gpfn.sk.ca>
Cc: QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>, SOC <soc@mailman.qth.net>
Subject: [144514] COBRA "SPITFIRE" (SCHEMATIC WANTED)
Message-ID: <3E20C886.610574D4@telusplanet.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Gang:

Anyone have a schematic for an old tube transceiver "Cobra Spitfire" by
Cardon Import Co. Ltd. ? This is a 23 channel 11 Meter rig....Also,
could go by "Cobra 24"Please email me if you have.
Thanks....72...Earl (VA6RF)

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 18:07:25 -0800
From: "Alan Kaul, W6RCL" <alan.kaul@worldnet.att.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144515] Re: probss
Message-ID: <006301c2b9df\$5b7c17e0\$b93ecd18@charterpipeline.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Mike Yetsko wrote:

>It amazes me how foolish some people are.

Just wait ... sooner or later almost all of them will post an off topic

message to the QRP list.... and you can count them for yourself.

Alan Kaul, W6RCL, LaCanada, CA
w6rcl@amsat.org
<http://home.att.net/~alan.kaul/index.html>

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 21:0:11 -0600
From: "Doc K0EVZ" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
To: "qrp-l reflector" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Cc: "doc k0evz earthlink" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
Subject: [144516] Ten Tec OMNI VI+ vs V?
Message-ID: <41200310123011509@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Gang:

Has anyone compared the Ten Tec OMNI V and OMNI VI+ rigs? Just wondering how an OMNI V might stack up against the VI+. All input appreciated.
Thanks.

73,
--Doc/K0EVZ

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 03:52:02 -0500
From: "Juan Ferrari" <puntrad@usa.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144517] Re: CQ FP
Message-ID: <002c01c2ba17\$e195cbe0\$54e6d7ce@puntana.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I will be on 10107.1 chasing flying pigs (NRs).

See u there.
72 es oo's
Juan - KG4FSN

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 23:09:38 -0500
From: "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@erols.com>
To: "NoVAQRP" <NoVaQRP@topica.com>,
"EPA-QRP" <EPA-QRP@yahooogroups.com>, "NJQRP" <njqrp@njqrp.org>,
Subject: [144518] QHB #9 articles posted on "Extra" wesbite
Message-ID: <01e001c2b9f0\$70224960\$a6c13ad0@GHLTP4>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It's that time of the quarter when we post the full set of color photos,
graphics and schematics from the pages of the most recent QRP Homebrewer ...
an the pics available this time are just fabulous! You can see the QHB #9
home page at <http://www.njqrp.org/qhbextra/9/9.html>

Everyone should check out the photos of Jim Kortge's "K8IQY PVX0"
(Precision Variable Crystal Oscillator), which was the feature article this
time in QHB #9. Also included is his companion "Crystal Test Fixture"
project. The craftsmanship of this QRP Hall of Fame member is outstanding.
Per usual, we've posted online lots more photo material that we could fit
into the pages of QHB, so everyone is in for a treat.

Other good material is in the QuickieLab article and in Joe Everhart's
companion piece called the "Audio Visual Voltmeter". Real useful stuff for
the homebrewers out there. Actually, the material from all authors is real
good and they are all happy to share it with QRPer everywhere.

Here's a recap of the article material on QHB Extra this time ...

Precision Variable Crystal Oscillator, by Jim Kortge, K8IQY
Tools and Methods for Measuring Crystal Parameters, by Jim Kortge, K8IQY
Build an RF Probe in 10 Minutes, by Phil DeCaire, WB7AEI
The QuickieLab - A QRPer's Experimenting Platform, by George Heron, N2APB
Build the "AVV" Audio Visual Voltmeter, by Joe Everhart, N2CX
Electronic Variac, by Dave Ottenberg, WA2DJN
Low Pass Filters for QRP Transmitters, by Phil DeCaire, WB7AEI
Herring Aid 7 - Mods to a Great Little DC Receiver, by Bob Johansen, WB2SRF
A Tale of Two Antennas (PAC-12 & the Improv), Joe Everhart, N2CX
Analyzing the FM Receiver IC for Homebrew Use, by Harold Smith, KE6TI
A Primitive Antenna Analyzer, by Doug Wilson, WA0VSL

Hope you enjoy!

73, George N2APB n2apb@amsat.org
Editor & publisher, QRP Homebrewer magazine
Info on QHB at http://www.njqrp.org/data/qrp_homebrewer.html

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 22:07:41 -0600
From: Ted Kell <tedkell@ev1.net>
To: QRP-L <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144519] Klez..h@MM
Message-ID: <4HCWR4WHB1VRUPSPGBSRZWLG3ZQLLG.3e20ea0d@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="windows-1252"

Somebody on the list has the afore mentioned virus. Fortunately, my ISP looks for such things. Time to do a virus check folks.

N3Ted

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 04:58:47 -0000
From: "Kenneth Evans" <w4du@attbi.com>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144520] QRP HoF- additional Info
Message-ID: <006b01c2b9f7\$4b101100\$6601a8c0@se1.client2.attbi.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It was pointed out that I should post the list of people in the QRP Hall of Fame so you would not nominate someone that has already been inducted.

The following, in alphabetical order, are the current QRP Hall of Fame members, with year of induction shown.

Chuck Adams, K5FO (1998)
Brice Anderson, W9PNE (1996)
Rich Arland, K7SZ (2002)

Dave Benson, NN1G (1999)
Michael Bryce WB8VGE (2000)
Wayne Burdick, N6KR (1998)
George Burt, GM30XX (1996)
Jim Cates, WA6GER (1998)
L. B. Cebik, W4RNL (1999)
Mike Czuhajewski, WA8MCQ (1997)
Tom Davis, K8IF (1996)
Doug DeMaw, W1FB (1992) (silent key)
Rev. George Dobbs, G3RJV (1992)
Joe Everhart N2CX (2000)
Paul Harden, NA5N (1999)
Wes Hayward, W7Z0I (1996)
Doug Hendricks, KI6DS (1997)
George Heron N2APB (2001)
Jim Kortge, K8IQY (2002)
Roy Lewallen, W7EL (1992)
Rick Littlefield, K1BQT (1996)
Dick Pascoe, G0BPS (1997)
Randy Rand, AA2U (1992)
C. F. Rockey, W9SCH (1996)
Gus Taylor, G8PG (1998)
Adrian Weiss, W0RSP (1996)
Peter Zenker DL2FI (2001)

72/3,
Ken W4DU
w4du@arrl.net
QRP ARCI #696, GQRP, NOGA, FP #295, NORCAL, ARRL-Life

Date: Sat, 11 Jan 2003 21:23:43 -0800
From: Thomas Upton <stjohn@ocsnet.net>
To: QRP-L <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144521] QRP 2 meters: HTX-200
Message-ID: <3E20FBDE.B9440D52@ocsnet.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just picked up one of the last HTX-200 s in our area. \$49 dollars came to \$52.+ out the door. Still around, so look for them quick. 200 mw, which is QRPpp, isn't it?

Tom Upton
AD6N

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 06:41:50 -0600
From: Chuck Carpenter <w5usj@9plus.net>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu, rock-mite_group@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [144522] Redux - Rock-Mite Beacon w/ RMK @ ~ 7084 kHz
Message-ID: <3.0.2.32.20030112064150.006bbbf4@mail.9plus.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Running a mostly stock R-M/40 as a beacon again this morning with the RMK
keyer chip from Jackson Harbor.

It'll be on from 1600 to 1800 UTC this morning at about 7.084 MHz. This
frequency has some digital stuff around it but may not be as bad as the AM
BC around 7122.

Setup this morning is R-M/40 at about 500 mW with Z-match/absorptive bridge
transmatch to Butternut HF9V vertical.

Should you listen for it and hear it too, let me know es thanks.

Email Alt: w5usj@arrl.net, w5usj@go.com

Chuck Carpenter, W5USJ, Point, Rains Co., TX - EM22cv, NETXQRP #1
QRP-ARCI #5422, QRP-L #1306, QRPp-I #115, ARS #1280, SOC #57
Zombie #759, COG #11, 6 Club #201, NETXQRP <http://www.netxqrp.org>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 07:47:41 -0500
From: John R Kirby <n3aaz-qrp@juno.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [144523] 40m QRSS Beacon FOX
Message-ID: <20030112.075538.-207237.0.n3aaz-qrp@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

QRPp QRSS FOX hunting (see time and freq below).

Thought maybe some of our qrp-l group
would like a 1QK at this mode of FOX hunting.

Yes it is CW.

>google< will point you to several very gQqD QRSS viewers.
Search terms like . . . qrss, easygram, argo, spectran, spectrumlab.

Easygram (of course) is the *easiest* (thirty minutes) and spectrumlab
the most difficult (couple days) to get up and over the learning curve.
SpectrumLab comes with BITE ! ! ! Nice too.
PC sound card . . . rquired
Software . . . free

The mode indicated (below) is >three< second dits.
However note, time is not an issue with most software >receivers<.

Most QRSS software comes with a recorder and screen capture/copy/cut/past
stuff.

The beacon 'message' will contain a secret code word . . .
so send Johan (ON5EX) a >dot<gif or >dot<jpg "readability" report.

John
N3AAZ
00TC 43+
FM 19 xa

PS . . . Since QRM is not an issue with this mode,
Johan WILL be 'bang-on' his indicated frequency.

>Fom: "Johan Smet" <johan_smet@pandora.be>
>To: <knight_qrss@cnts.be>
>Dte: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 00:55:46 +0100
>Subject: 40m beacon
>
>Hi Friendly QRSS Knights,
>
>I'll be heating up the ionosphere running 250 mW for a novice QRSS
viewer.
>
>Date: Tuesday 14-JAN, 1200 UT - (+-) 1900 UT
>QRG: 7039.370
>Mode: QRSS3
>
>Any reports appreciated.
>
>73,
>
>Johan ON5EX

>

Sign Up for Juno Platinum Internet Access Today
Only \$9.95 per month!
Visit www.juno.com

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 08:15:14 -0500
From: W2AGN <w2agn@w2agn.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144524] Re: probss
Message-ID: <3E212412.6007.F134665@localhost>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

On 11 Jan 2003 at 18:07, Alan Kaul, W6RCL wrote:

> Mike Yetsko wrote:
> >It amazes me how foolish some people are.
>
>
> Just wait ... sooner or later almost all of them will post an off topic
> message to the QRP list.... and you can count them for yourself.
>

Or, they may respond to an off topic post with a witticism of their own.

(I have been accused of that by some people who perhaps shouldn't live in glass houses.)

+-----+ John L. Sielke
|W||2||A||G||N| <http://www.w2agn.net> [UPDATED]
+-----+ Ex-K3HLU,TF2WKT,W7JEF,W4MPC,N4JS

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 06:28:31 -0700 (MST)
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
To: Fran Flynn <fflynn@adelphia.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144525] Re: Karl invents the network
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0301120622200.1266-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi Fran, if you don't want to send this to the list why do it?

I'm running Linux, now Red Hat 8 and have been using Linux since 1994.
I'm aware that you can make Linux a very capable router, or domain name server or e-mail server.

What I bought was a computer running something, I don't care, that's a full function router that is 1" by 4" by 5" in size. It takes a LOT less power to run than your old computer, and sits behind my computer.

On Sat, 11 Jan 2003, Fran Flynn wrote:

> My router was free. It's a 486-25 running Linux. Does
> NAT for 5 machines behind it on a peer to peer LAN through
> the house.
>
> But normally I don't post such completely off topic information.
>
> Fran Km1z Comptia A+, Network+
>
>
> >I am using D-Link ethernet cards and they are great! Simple and
> >they do what they should. So when I saw the \$39.95 Router was D-Link I
> >bought it.
>
>
>
>
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 05:23:20 -0800 (PST)
From: "Barry" <barry@w2bj.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144526] WBL
Message-ID: <20030112052320.27111.h014.c011.wm@mail.w2bj.com.criticalpath.net>
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Disposition: inline

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
MIME-Version: 1.0

I have seen several requests for WBL paddles on this list. There is one for sale on E____. I saw when checking under QRP.

72/73, Barry J. Minsky, W2BJ ARRL, QRP ARCI #8871, NorCal #1560, QRP-L, FISTS #2701, Century Club #569, Platinum #51, Silver #119, FISTS CW Club of Coastal Georgia, Knightlites, Adventure Radio Society #359, QCWA #29298, OOTC #3723, SOC #193, K2 #577, K1 #98, Rock Mite

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 10:11:56 -0500
From: john <johnmb@nc.rr.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144527] Any fort smith sidekick building cautions?
Message-ID: <3.0.3.32.20030112101156.026720b8@pop-server.nc.rr.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

I'm about ready to delve into building the Fort Smith sidekick rig and wonder if there was any errata or suggestions that might be useful before I start pasting parts in there!

73
John wb5oau

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 10:04:30 -0600
From: "Stephen Yates" <aa5tb@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144528] Re: Redux - Rock-Mite Beacon w/ RMK @ ~ 7084 kHz
Message-ID: <003401c2ba54\$4b4ef480\$ca36a6d8@Main>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Chuck,

Your beacon is a good 549 here in north Fort Worth near Saginaw, grid EM12hu at 1558 UTC.

73,

Steve Yates - AA5TB

<http://www.qsl.net/aa5tb/>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:15:58 +0000
From: k4vib@att.net
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [144529] My new HB Rig...
Message-ID: <20030112161559.FVBL12483.mtiwmhc12.worldnet.att.net@mtiwebc15>

A pic of the outside box http://home.att.net/~w.harbourjr/MD20_1.JPG
A pic of the inside http://home.att.net/~w.harbourjr/MD20_3.JPG

The rig uses my WA1FFL DDS VFO. The receiver is a single conversion superhet with a 2N2222 emitter follower just after the 1st mixer to compensate for any losses in the 4.1959Mhz 3 crystal IF. The audio section consist of an NE5532 as a LPF and an LM386 final amp. I have a noise filter at the output of the LM386 which reduces the hiss quite a bit.

I plan on building a transmitter on the unused portion of the board. I may make it for a couple of bands or just for 20M.

I'm calling the rig MD20 for mongrel dog 20m. I've used bits and piece of other circuits here and there plus things I've rigure out on my own.

Thanks to everyone who helped me with the rigs distortion and howling.

Bill
K4VIB

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 11:59:43 EST
From: ARDUJENSKI@aol.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144530] W6TOY?
Message-ID: <da.21f8d9ad.2b52f8ff@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

<PRE>I saw the posts earlier on Bruce being in the hospital. Any update? I miss his wit and wisdom on the list. Alan KB7MBI

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 11:04:46 -0600
From: "Joe Martin" <km5cw@arrl.net>
To: "qrp-l" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144531] Re: My new HB Rig...
Message-ID: <009101c2ba5c\$b62daf00\$ea2dbad0@oemcomputer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Nice setup Bill, don't suppose you could show us a diagram. I notice a resistor and cap ontop of a chip <g> your a brave man.

73 de KM5CW Joe

FISTS #4217 QRP/ARCI #11368 NETXQRP/#42

GRID-EM13kf

(<http://web.wt.net/~km5cw>)

- _.- .-.. ..-

----- Original Message -----

From: <k4vib@att.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 10:15 AM
Subject: My new HB Rig...

> A pic of the outside box http://home.att.net/~w.harbourjr/MD20_1.JPG
> A pic of the inside http://home.att.net/~w.harbourjr/MD20_3.JPG
>
> The rig uses my WA1FFL DDS VF0. The receiver is a single conversion
superhet
> with a 2N2222 emitter follower just after the 1st mixer to compensate for
any
> losses in the 4.1959Mhz 3 crystal IF. The audio section consist of an
NE5532
> as a LPF and an LM386 final amp. I have a noise filter at the output of
the
> LM386 which reduces the hiss quite a bit.
>
> I plan on building a transmitter on the unused portion of the board. I
may
> make it for a couple of bands or just for 20M.
>
> I'm calling the rig MD20 for mongrel dog 20m. I've used bits and piece of
> other circuits here and there plus things I've rigure out on my own.
>

> Thanks to everyone who helped me with the rigs distortion and howling.
>
> Bill
> K4VIB
>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 12:11:51 -0500
From: ae5x@netscape.net (John Harper AE5X)
To: w5usj@arrl.net
Cc: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144532] Re: Redux - Rock-Mite Beacon w/ RMK @ ~ 7084 kHz
Message-ID: <3DD43AB3.345BAD58.00003ABB@netscape.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

Hi Chuck,

I'm receiving your beacon right now (1702Z) in Oklahoma City about 339. I am here temporarily in a corporate apartment so the antenna is nothing fancy - just a 30-foot long wire running from my 2nd floor balcony to a tree. I have also dropped a 15 foot wire down to the ground to act as a counterpoise. Not a great antenna but I did work Japan on 40m this morning and am now able to copy you. The rig is my K1 on AA batteries.

For the record, I copied:

VVV VVV VVV DE W5USJ/B EM22 CV QSL VIA EMAIL AR

Have fun and 73,

John Harper AE5X
Outdoor QRP & 80-Meter DXing: <http://www.ae5x.com>

The NEW Netscape 7.0 browser is now available. Upgrade now! <http://channels.netscape.com/ns/browsers/download.jsp>

Get your own FREE, personal Netscape Mail account today at <http://webmail.netscape.com/>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 12:11:57 -5

From: "Bill Kelsey - N8ET - Kanga US" <kanga@bright.net>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [144533] Homebrewers - New Book Available
Message-ID: <200301121712.h0CHC0aT010759@hagus.bright.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

In case you missed my first post on the new book by W7ZOI, KK7B, and W7PUA, here is the scoop again.....

I have info on the book on a web page at:
<http://www.bright.net/~kanga/kanga/emrfd.htm>

The book is approximately 528 pages, and includes a CD with design software, DSP firmware listings, and supplementary articles.

The Contents include:
Basic Investigations in Electronics
Chapters on Amplifiers, Filters, Oscillators, and Mixers
Superhetrodyne Transmitters and Receivers
Measurement Equipment
Direct Conversion Receivers
Phasing Receivers and Transmitters
DSP Components
DSP Applications in Communications
Field Operation, Portable Gear, and Integrated Stations

This book has been referred to by the authors as SoSSD - Son of Solid State Design, and looks to be exactly that. It was a Classic!

The cost of the book is \$49.95. I will cover the cost of shipping via Priority Mail (US Orders only) for any orders I receive prior to Feb. 1. If I receive 100 orders prior to Feb 1 I will knock another 5% off the price and include a 5% refund with the book when it is shipped.

I can take orders via US Mail, e-mail (preferred method), or telephone (leave info on the answering machine). The web page has a "Buy Now" button that will take PayPal payments. I can also do VISA or MC directly.

The ARRL tells me that my order was the first for the new book. I figure QRP- L subscribers will all want it as soon as it is available, so I will do my best to make it available ASAP. They are estimating a mid February ship date.

Also - For orders received prior to Feb 1 I will cover the shipping on any of the following QRP related books:
Solid State Design

QRP Power
W1FB's QRP Notebook
Low Power Communications.

In fact - I will cover shipping on ANY ARRL book or CD ordered prior to Feb 1 and I will knock 5% off the price if I get more than the 100 orders mentioned above!

73 - Bill - N8ET
Kanga US
kanga@bright.net
<http://www.bright.net/~kanga/>
419-423-4604

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:27:37 +0000
From: Larry Cahoon <lejek@erols.com>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144534] OR Falls all counties QRP
Message-ID: <5.1.0.14.0.20030112172416.028a7db8@pop.erols.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

This morning my sked with Jan, K6FM, in Josephine County came off perfectly on 15 meters to finish up the state of OR. He even gave my 5 watts a 579 - made with I had cut the power to half a watt. Average power to get an OR county came in at 4.06 watts. I don't have too many out there at less than 5 watts although I did add one there yesterday as a result of the NA QSO party.

Now only 40 counties in 15 states to go.

73 de Larry.....WD3P in MD
<http://www.wd3p.net/>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 09:28:48 -0800
From: "Russ Carpenter" <russ@natworld.com>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144535] Experimental Methods in RF Design
Message-ID: <000801c2ba60\$14d89440\$1eacfea9@RussThinkpad>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm very pleased to report that the ARRL has announced the publication of "Experimental Methods in RE Design" by Wes Hayward, W7ZOI, Rick Campbell, KK7B, and Bob Larkin, W7PUA. These three fine gentlemen have produced a landmark book that will be treasured amateur radio operators for years to come. The book will ship in early February and the cost is \$49.95.

Russ Carpenter, AA7QU

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 10:32:29 -0700
From: "Chris Trask" <chrisrask@earthlink.net>
To: <kanga@bright.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144536] Re: Homebrewers - New Book Available
Message-ID: <008801c2ba60\$95c2e600\$018c3a41@ctrask>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>
> In case you missed my first post on the new book by W7ZOI, KK7B, and
> W7PUA, here is the scoop again.....
>
> I have info on the book on a web page at:
> <http://www.bright.net/~kanga/kanga/emrfd.htm>
>

I've been looking forward to this book. Wes Hayward contacted me late last year for a correct citation to one of my papers on lossless feedback amplifiers. The book is being offered for \$49.95 for orders placed before 15 Feb, and that additional 5% will be nice if it becomes available.

Chris

/-----.
 / What's all this \
 / extinct stuff, anyhow? \
 \-----'
 _|/
oo\
(__)\
 \ \ . ' '

High Performance Mixers and
Amplifiers for RF Communications

Chris Trask / N7ZWY
Principal Engineer
Sonoran Radio Research
P.O. Box 25240
Tempe, Arizona 85285-5240

\ \ / \
 \ ' "
 . () \
 '-|)__| :. \
 | | | | \ '
 c__; c__; '-.. '>._

IEEE Member #40274515

Email: chistrask@earthlink.net
<http://www.home.earthlink.net/~chistrask>

Graphics by Loek Frederiks

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 18:06:31 +0000
From: "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
To: km5cw@arrl.net, qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [144537] Re: My new HB Rig...
Message-ID: <F68Dp7mvjk022ZhCl8a000052db@hotmail.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

>From: "Joe Martin" <km5cw@arrl.net>
>Reply-To: km5cw@arrl.net
>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
>Subject: Re: My new HB Rig...
>Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 11:04:46 -0600
>
>Nice setup Bill, don't suppose you could show us a diagram. I notice a
>resistor and cap on top of a chip <g> your a brave man.

Nothing wrong with that! I've put chips on top of chips before now for
memory expansion. 8-)

73, Leon

--

Leon Heller, G1HSM Tel: +44 1424 14790
Email: leon_heller@hotmail.com
My web page: http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller

MSN 8 with e-mail virus protection service: 2 months FREE*
<http://join.msn.com/?page=features/virus>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 11:13:22 -0700
From: William R Colbert <w5xe@juno.com>

To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144538] Re: Karl invents the network
Message-ID: <20030112.111324.-273859.1.w5xe@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Still - Who Cares?

It is not qrp related!!

"The more I see of the representatives of the people,
the more I admire my dogs." letter from Count d'Orsay
Ray Colbert, W5XE, 00TC#3618, SOWP#1064M NARTE-NCT2R
FP# 111, SOC#78, ARCI 5784, El Paso, (FAR WEST) TEXAS

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:34:29 -0000
From: "Tony Fishpool" <tony@g4wif.fsnet.co.uk>
To: "QRP-1" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144539] Re: Any fort smith sidekick building cautions?
Message-ID: <004701c2ba68\$2fa4f4a0\$0cd88251@celeron>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

John,

You can download KB9YIG's parts placement drawing from Jay W5JAY's website at
:-

<http://www.cox-internet.com/w5jay/kits/sidekick.pdf>

... and also look at some completed boards for comparison at :-

<http://www.cox-internet.com/w5jay/kits/sidekick.htm>

Kind regards
Tony - G4WIF

----- Original Message -----
From: "john" <johnmb@nc.rr.com>

> I'm about ready to delve into building the Fort Smith sidekick rig
> and wonder if there was any errata or suggestions that might be useful
> before I start pasting parts in there!

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 13:42:19 -0500
From: Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144540] sniping
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.2.20030112132318.01e156e8@pop.earthlink.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

It may take several layers to get to QRP from networking, but it is easily enough accomplished. For example, if my basement shack benefits from the use of a computer, then the operation that takes place there benefits. If some of that operation is QRP, then there is indeed a QRP connection. This is not rocket science. It could just as easily been a receiver or antenna improvement. Certain people also seem to DRAW the lightning-and often (it seems to me) it isn't that their comments are so totally wrong, as much as that they deliver either a different perspective, or some departure from what "IS" QRP. (Whatever THAT really is.)

I sometimes think that some-many?-QRP people are much too fond of their holier-than-thou attitude(s) about operating, and what's *** OK *** as "QRP", and what's NOT: (YAGI antennas should not-according to some-be allowable as QRP, for example); and that seems all-too-frequently to extend over to sniping at each other when DELETE keys are right there ready when you are.

The idea is: if you don't like it, DELETE it. Making comment-after-comment just extends the OT remark's DELETE Key usage requirements.

I'll gladly use that DELETE key a thousand times for ONE PEARL.

73 Ed Tanton N4XY <n4xy@earthlink.net>

Ed Tanton N4XY
189 Pioneer Trail
Marietta, GA 30068-3466

website: <http://www.n4xy.com>

All emails <IN> & <OUT> checked by
Norton AntiVirus with AutoProtect

LM: ARRL QCWA AMSAT & INDEXA;
SEDXC NCDXA GACW QRP-ARCI
OK-QRP QRP-L #758 K2 (FT) #00057

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 13:04:54 -0500 (EST)
From: Fred Ringwald <fred@ringwald.org>
To: n4xy@earthlink.net
Cc: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [144541] Re: sniping
Message-ID: <m18XmTe-000c95C@tesop>

Ed,

Well said, and Thank You.

This reflector has gotten way too unpleasant. The vultures seem like they are just waiting for a weak field mouse to dare creep out (say something OT, etc.) so they can attack. What's the QRP value in that?

I well understand why several people who used to fill this list full of pearls several years ago have given up on QRP-L, much to the loss of the rest of us.

Fred Ringwald, AB0AE

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 13:32:20 -0600
From: "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144542] Sniping, tolerance
Message-ID: <3E216E64.7728.10806C8@localhost>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

I'm all for cessation of the hostile reactions to some posts and for some more tolerance of other people's ideas, viewpoints and preferences. There was some discussion about the problem on Christmas day and I asked for emails from list members as to whether the sniping and name calling should stop. I got 28 responses agreeing with the position and not one saying that the list should be a bastion of name calling, holier-than-thou experts on what is on topic or off topic.

As has been mentioned, some people seem to be lightning rods for this sort of thing. Especially Karl. You can say what you want to about Karl and whether his posts are on topic or not, but he has NEVER responded to any of the harassment with insulting or denigrating remarks. Even wishing one of his pesterers a Merry Christmas. In my book, that shows much more class than some of the puerile comments that have been directed at him. I guess everybody here has his own opinion about what constitutes OT noise on this list, but I'd rather read one post about something Karl is interested in than ten telling me it was "Off Topic" If it is OT, I'm perfectly capable of deciding that myself and also capable of deciding if it was interesting anyway.

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 14:02:18 -0500
From: Brian Short <bshort4@cox.net>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [144543] [OT] What *IS* QRP?
Message-ID: <5F0846DC-2660-11D7-A50B-00306543B616@cox.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v551)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A recent message described discrepancies in philosophical dogma among practicing QRPers.

This begs the question...

What *IS* QRP? Who is a *REAL* QRPer? Etc.

I suspect there may be shades of gray, but what makes one more of a QRPer than others?

Say you fire up Paypal and buy an FT-817. QRP or NOT QRP?

You don't know which end of a soldering iron to grab?

You have cabinets full of unbuilt kits?

You own a linear amplifier?

You turn the power control of your IC-781 down to 4.9999999 watts?

You are a member of the IEEE?

You have an MBA?

You have a personal LAN and run Linux?

You are a well-paid professional educator?

You are a convicted felon?

You posted 7 or more messages to QRP-L Wed Jan 08 2003 - 00:13:15 EST:
Starting Sun Jan 12 2003 - 13:42:34 EST: Ending?

You are "Off the Grid?" (wink, wink)

You are into gangs of critters?

--

See my web page: <http://www.k7on.com>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 15:05:30 -0500
From: Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
To: femens@hiwaay.net,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144544] Re: Sniping, tolerance
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.2.20030112150305.01f09df8@pop.earthlink.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

VERY well said Frank. (I-somehow-missed the email query, but I guess you know what I'd have said.) Thanks.

73 Ed Tanton N4XY <n4xy@earthlink.net>

Ed Tanton N4XY
189 Pioneer Trail
Marietta, GA 30068-3466

website: <http://www.n4xy.com>

All emails <IN> & <OUT> checked by
Norton AntiVirus with AutoProtect

LM: ARRL QCWA AMSAT & INDEXA;
SEDXC NCDXA GACW QRP-ARCI
OK-QRP QRP-L #758 K2 (FT) #00057

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 14:06:55 -0600
From: Bob Liesenfeld <wb0poq@visi.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144545] Next 'latest project'
Message-ID: <3E21CADF.AF7B5829@visi.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi folks,

I have just finished dead-bugging a vfo I found in one of the Radcom compendiums. I made no particular effort to temperature compensate it or build it like a battleship, but it is surprisingly stable. It runs 5-5.5MHz and uses a dual gate mosfet/pnp direct coupled affair as the oscillator with an npn buffer.

If you are interested, let me know and I post you a copy of the skez.

72

Bob WB0POQ

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 15:21:08 -0500
From: Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>
To: bshort4@cox.net,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144546] Re: [OT] What *IS* QRP?
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.2.20030112150652.01ec2420@pop.earthlink.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I suspect, that it isn't what does-or does not-/who is-or is not-"QRP" that is the problem... but rather, that some folks seem to believe that their particular sect of the QRP Brotherhood is the One True (AND ONLY) Path to Enlightenment.

As for me... I belong to the "Either you're HAVING FUN or you're NOT (and need to do something else)" school of thought.

It's true that there's MORE FUN, and there's LESS FUN... and sometimes there's even-briefly one hopes-NO FUN. But FUN is what it's all about. The conflicts arise when one person's definition of "FUN" impacts another's. The ONLY answer to that-short of nuclear warfare of course-is increased

tolerance... which is what I'm pretty much preaching here.

P.S. Draw ABSOLUTELY no hidden messages about the current world situation from this little piece... I'm not real big on toleration of Weapons of Mass Destruction, the people who wave them around or hide them, or terrorists (to say the least).

73 Ed Tanton N4XY <n4xy@earthlink.net>

Ed Tanton N4XY
189 Pioneer Trail
Marietta, GA 30068-3466

website: <http://www.n4xy.com>

All emails <IN> & <OUT> checked by
Norton AntiVirus with AutoProtect

LM: ARRL QCWA AMSAT & INDEXA;
SEDXC NCDXA GACW QRP-ARCI
OK-QRP QRP-L #758 K2 (FT) #00057

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 14:26:26 -0600
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
To: <fred@ringwald.org>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144547] Re: sniping
Message-ID: <00d501c2ba78\$e2b8d100\$0201a8c0@fairviewtx.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Actually, it's pretty easy:

1. Read the postings with an open mind
2. Help if you can - be quiet if you can't
3. Delete what you don't want to read without telling everyone why
4. Move on.

73/72, George

Amateur Radio W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas

In the 57th year and it just keeps getting better!

Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe

K2 #489 IC-765 #2349 IC-756 PRO #2121 IC-756 PRO2 #3235

----- Original Message -----

From: "Fred Ringwald" <fred@ringwald.org>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 12:04 PM

Subject: Re: sniping

> Ed,

>

> Well said, and Thank You.

>

> This reflector has gotten way too unpleasant. The vultures seem like

> they are just waiting for a weak field mouse to dare creep out (say

> something OT, etc.) so they can attack. What's the QRP value in that?

>

> I well understand why several people who used to fill this list full

> of pearls several years ago have given up on QRP-L, much to the loss

> of the rest of us.

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:19:17 -0500

From: Pete Burbank <plburbank@earthlink.net>

To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu

Cc: :

Subject: [144548] Here we go again

Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20030112161038.00a1f290@Earthlink.net>

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters. This subject has been hashed over several times

and I don't have time to dig out the regs.

The bottom line is that

30 is not a contest band.

The FP WAP is a contest.

I don't want to tick anyone off....just a note to keep us out of trouble

73 to all and happy oinking

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:36:32 -0500
From: "brian" <brian@iquest.net>
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [144549] Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again
Message-ID: <000701c2ba82\$ad80cf10\$1464030a@bmurrey2K>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Pete,

I agree to a point, I don't think ops should be contesting on WARC
BUT...what's the difference in calling CQ FP and CQ FISTS or are they
both wrong?

I think they're both wrong...but in the course of a QSO, the ops
decide to exchange numbers, I don't see anything wrong with it. I
guess my problems lies in the CQ GROUP on WARC bands.

73

----- Original Message -----
From: "Pete Burbank" <plburbank@earthlink.net>
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Cc: <:>
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 4:19 PM
Subject: [fpqrp] Here we go again

> I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters. This
subject
> has been hashed over several times
> and I don't have time to dig out the regs.
> The bottom line is that
> 30 is not a contest band.
> The FP WAP is a contest.
> I don't want to tick anyone off....just a note to keep us out of
trouble
> 73 to all and happy oinking
>

>
> -To unsubscribe, mail to majordomo@fpqrp.com, msg: unsubscribe
fpqrp-l -
>
>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 15:42:50 -0600
From: "Mark Andrews \ (KE4IOF\)" <KE4IOF@KE4IOF.com>
To: <femens@hiwaay.net>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144550] Re: Sniping, tolerance
Message-ID: <001c01c2ba83\$8e8fdc30\$43e93e44@carrera>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

DITTO!

Mark A. Andrews, KE4IOF
QRP-L 146, QRP-ARCI 10574, QRPp-I 217

----- Original Message -----
From: "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 1:32 PM
Subject: Sniping, tolerance

> comments that have been directed at him. I guess everybody here has his own
> opinion about what constitutes OT noise on this list, but I'd rather read
one post
> about something Karl is interested in than ten telling me it was "Off
Topic" If it is
> OT, I'm perfectly capable of deciding that myself and also capable of
deciding if it
> was interesting anyway.

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:00:08 -0500
From: W2AGN <w2agn@w2agn.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144551] Re: Sniping, tolerance
Message-ID: <3E219F18.7095.10F3B3A7@localhost>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

On 12 Jan 2003 at 15:42, Mark Andrews (KE4IOF) wrote:

> DITTO!
>
> > comments that have been directed at him. I guess everybody here has
his own
> > opinion about what constitutes OT noise on this list, but I'd rather
read
> one post
> > about something Karl is interested in than ten telling me it was "Off
> Topic" If it is
> > OT, I'm perfectly capable of deciding that myself and also capable of
> deciding if it
> > was interesting anyway.
>
>
>

OK, this is not a violation of my Resolutions, since it is not directed
at Karl, but I gotta say something. Doesn't anyone think it's a waste of
time for one person to post a "Let's just all get along" message, and
then a dozen pipe up with "I agree" or even "Ditto?"

OK, the point was made that "sniping" is not popular. How about mindless
drivel? Is that OK?

I thought QRPer's were supposed to NOT be sheep.

+---+---+---+---+ John L. Sielke
|W||2||A||G||N| <http://www.w2agn.net> [UPDATED]
+---+---+---+---+ Ex-K3HLU,TF2WKT,W7JEF,W4MPC,N4JS

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:10:26 -0500
From: "Bill Robbins" <billrobb@net-link.net>

To: "QRP List" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144552] FS: QRP Books
Message-ID: <003301c2ba87\$69952ad0\$b08f59cf@S0028694882>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Excess to my needs:

QRPp1993, 1994, 1995 all bound. Also the 4 1997 editions unbound.
W1FB's QRP Notebook
The HW-8 Handbook

Offers, please.

Bill WA8CDU

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:19:32 -0600
From: "Dave Sjolin" <sjolin@swbell.net>
To: <femens@hiwaay.net>, "Qrp-l Reflector" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144553] Re: Sniping, tolerance
Message-ID: <078801c2ba88\$aafc5f560\$78d1fea9@DaveSjolin>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----

From: "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 1:32 PM
Subject: Sniping, tolerance

> I'm all for cessation of the hostile reactions to some posts and for some
more
> tolerance of other people's ideas, viewpoints and preferences.

I'm sure there these hostile reactions would cease if Karl didnt need to use
Qrp-L as his personal diary. Any thought that pops in his head on any topic,
he drafts a note to himself and posts to the entire list. Ear wax, Linux, or
whatever.

> There was some discussion about the problem on Christmas day and I asked

> for emails from list members as to whether the sniping and name calling should
> stop. I got 28 responses agreeing with the position and not one saying that the
> list should be a bastion of name calling, holier-than-thou experts on what is on
> topic or off topic.

What about the other 3000 or so list members who had better things to do than respond to ANY inquiry about Karl.

> As has been mentioned, some people seem to be lightning rods for this sort of
> thing. Especially Karl. You can say what you want to about Karl and whether his
> posts are on topic or not, but he has NEVER responded to any of the harassment
> with insulting or denigrating remarks.

I dont know where you have been but Karl has responded with insulting and denigrating remarks. He also has a nasty habbit of taking personal email directed solely to him and copying it to the list. Two or three times I have emailed Karl privately rather than waste everyone elses time with additional OT posts. What does the village idiot do, he copies your private email to the entire list. Dont tell me that Karl is a misunderstood angel.

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:29:49 -0500
From: "AI2Q" <ai2q@adelphia.net>
To: "QRP-L (E-mail)" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Cc: <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
Subject: [144554] RE: Ten Tec OMNI VI+ vs V?
Message-ID: <000401c2ba8a\$1f288e80\$6401a8c0@hq.cmp.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Doc:

I have an Omni-V and like it quite a bit, especially for its very good receiver and hassle-free menu-free human interface.

One of my friends has an Omni-6+ and he has come to like it, but claims that some operations are clearly burdened by processor overhead. That is, he sees

latencies in some functions that he attributes to firmware.

Vy 73, AI2Q, Alex in Kennebunk, Maine QRP-L #687 .-.-.

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of Doc K0EVZ

Sent: Saturday, January 11, 2003 10:00 PM

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

Subject: Ten Tec OMNI VI+ vs V?

Gang:

Has anyone compared the Ten Tec OMNI V and OMNI VI+ rigs? Just wondering how an OMNI V might stack up against the VI+. All input appreciated.
Thanks.

73,

--Doc/K0EVZ

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:44:51 -0500

From: "Garey Barrell" <k4oah@mindspring.com>

To: <brian@iquest.net>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [144555] Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again

Message-ID: <002001c2ba8c\$389e9560\$6501a8c0@hp>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have no axe to grind with either group, but think I see a distinction here.

If you are just "on the air" and happen to run across someone in "your group", certainly you should be able to exchange numbers as a part of a QSO.

If there is a short-term "contest period" in which you are only trying to make as many contacts as possible in a certain period, then you shouldn't be on the WARC "contest free" bands.

Obviously the original rule was intended to prevent one group taking over an entire (relatively narrow) band for a full day or weekend.

Like in so many cases, rules work best when a little common sense is applied. If it's random QSO's and you run across a compatriot, sure. If it's a high-intensity, all day or all weekend "contest", it should be on the other bands.

73, Garey - K40AH
Atlanta

----- Original Message -----

From: "brian" <brian@iquest.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 4:36 PM

Subject: Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again

> Pete,

>

> I agree to a point, I don't think ops should be contesting on WARC
> BUT...what's the difference in calling CQ FP and CQ FISTS or are
they

> both wrong?

>

> I think they're both wrong...but in the course of a QSO, the ops
> decide to exchange numbers, I don't see anything wrong with it. I
> guess my problems lies in the CQ GROUP on WARC bands.

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Pete Burbank" <plburbank@earthlink.net>

>

>

> > I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters.
This

> subject

> > has been hashed over several times

> > and I don't have time to dig out the regs.

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:12:35 -0700 (MST)
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144556] Training for those with weak minds
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0301121607560.1445-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Boys and Girls here is how it is. If you see a message from me where the title starts with FOX:, you can delete it because it pretains to the Fox Hunts.

If you see a message from me where the title starts with OT:, you can delete it because it doesn't pretain to QRP.

I wish everyone else did the same thing, but they don't.

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:20:38 -0700 (MST)
From: "Karl F. Larsen" <k5di@zianet.com>
To: Thom LaCosta <baltimoremd@baltimoremd.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144557] Re: OT: Local Area Network LAN
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0301121618270.1445-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Well your continuing the discussion Thom, without adding a single thing. As for a web site, I have three already. Thanks.

On Sat, 11 Jan 2003, Thom LaCosta wrote:

> On Sat, 11 Jan 2003, Karl F. Larsen wrote:
>
> >
> > As my LAN goes together so the DSL high speed internet can be
>
> Karl....do you want me to set up a wwwboard for you...I'll donate the
> space on my server, as I'm sure the international community would benefit
> far more than us QRP folks with your pondering/musings/reflections/cost

> comparisons of non-qrp related items.

>

> Thom

>

>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:25:23 -0600

From: Bill Stietenroth <k5zty@juno.com>

To: plburbank@earthlink.net

Cc: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU

Subject: [144558] Re: Here we go again

Message-ID: <20030112.172635.-1536531.2.k5zty@juno.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The ARRL DXCC is a contest and they do that on 30 meters. They just recently added 30 meters as a certifiable DXCC band. If it is good enough for the ARRL it should be good enough for a bunch of flying pigs. I say FP WAP away. The pigs aren't going to clog up the band with 20Khz wide pileups and pileup police like the DXers do.

Bill, K5ZTY

Houston,TX

On Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:19:17 -0500 Pete Burbank <plburbank@earthlink.net> writes:

> I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters. This

> subject

> has been hashed over several times

> and I don't have time to dig out the regs.

> The bottom line is that

> 30 is not a contest band.

> The FP WAP is a contest.

> I don't want to tick anyone off....just a note to keep us out of

> trouble

> 73 to all and happy oinking

>

>

>

>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:29:05 -0600
From: Dave Hottell <hottell@gulftel.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144559] Re: Sniping, tolerance
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20030112172905.00983100@pop.gulftel.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Gang,

The purpose of this post is strictly to set the record straight. The quote below is simply incorrect and cannot be allowed to stand as if it were true. After all, we are all supposedly in search of correct answers here. I do not wish to consume unnecessary bandwidth, but false statements need to be corrected.

>Especially Karl. You can say what you want to about Karl and whether his
>posts are on topic or not, but he has NEVER responded to any of the
harassment
>with insulting or denigrating remarks.

In case there is any danger of anyone actually believing this false claim, I suggest you review the archives for the period of about 7-14-2002 through about 7-25-2002 or so. You will be treated to Karl's education on the subject of conjugate matching. (Remember, he claims a PhD.) There you will also get to see the real Karl -- including all of the insults he spews out, and his rather complete lack of knowledge.

When reading Karl's posts one must keep in mind that he has a long history of posting incorrect information to the list. Older experienced hands know to ignore him, but, unfortunately, new members do not.

73 es gl,
Dave
AB9CA

At 01:32 PM 1/12/03 -0600, Frank Emens wrote:
>I'm all for cessation of the hostile reactions to some posts and for some
more
>tolerance of other people's ideas, viewpoints and preferences. There was
some
>discussion about the problem on Christmas day and I asked for emails from
list

>members as to whether the sniping and name calling should stop. I got 28
>responses agreeing with the position and not one saying that the list
should be a
>bastion of name calling, holier-than-thou experts on what is on topic or
off topic.
>
>As has been mentioned, some people seem to be lightning rods for this sort
of
>thing. Especially Karl. You can say what you want to about Karl and
whether his
>posts are on topic or not, but he has NEVER responded to any of the
harassment
>with insulting or denigrating remarks. Even wishing one of his pesterers a
Merry
>Christmas. In my book, that shows much more class than some of the puerile
>comments that have been directed at him. I guess everybody here has his own
>opinion about what constitutes OT noise on this list, but I'd rather read
one post
>about something Karl is interested in than ten telling me it was "Off
Topic" If it is
>OT, I'm perfectly capable of deciding that myself and also capable of
deciding if it
>was interesting anyway.
>
>
>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 18:31:17 -0500
From: "Jim Stamper" <jstamper@shentel.net>
To: <plburbank@earthlink.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144560] Re: Here we go again
Message-ID: <005101c2ba92\$b69d5130\$ea606fcc@jim>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Seems to me that WAP is pretty much the same as trying to work everybody
with a FISTS number. Does this mean calling CQ FISTS on 30 M. is not OK?

73,
jim-
KG4LDY

----- Original Message -----

From: "Pete Burbank" <plburbank@earthlink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 4:19 PM
Subject: Here we go again

> I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters. This
subject
> has been hashed over several times
> and I don't have time to dig out the regs.
> The bottom line is that
> 30 is not a contest band.
> The FP WAP is a contest.
> I don't want to tick anyone off....just a note to keep us out of trouble
> 73 to all and happy oinking
>
>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 23:08:56 +0000
From: Kevin L Anderson <k9iua@juno.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144561] NTE 128 = 2n3553 ???
Message-ID: <20030112.233400.14270.0.K9IUA@juno.com>

I was at a nearby electronics parts store
(part of a local chain-Warren Electronics).
After checking their various cross-references,
and using some of the cross-references I had
brought with me, for a 2n3553 transistor,
eventually they produced an NTE 128 for
\$2.03/piece.

Does this indeed match the 2n3553 for
characteristics AND PINS? I bought one
to replace a bad final in an OHR Sprint, so
I need an exact pin-equivalent replacement.

Thanks in advance for the information.
Cheers/73,
Kevin, K9IUA

Kevin Anderson, K9IUA, Dubuque, Iowa
K9IUA (at) juno (dot) com Opinions all my own.

Sign Up for Juno Platinum Internet Access Today
Only \$9.95 per month!
Visit www.juno.com

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 17:38:29 -0600
From: Dave Hottell <hottell@gulftel.com>
To: k4oah@mindspring.com,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144562] Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20030112173829.0097ec10@pop.gulftel.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Garey,

>Obviously the original rule was intended to prevent one group taking
>over an entire (relatively narrow) band for a full day or weekend.
>

I'm not completely sure which rule you are referring to, but the reason the WARC bands are non-contest is because ham activity on those frequencies is secondary, not primary. We have to accept interference from legitimate users (but not pirates) on those bands. Contesters tend to be frequency hogs and run over anyone and everyone they can. Not allowable when a secondary user.

73 es gl,
Dave
AB9CA

At 05:44 PM 1/12/03 -0500, you wrote:

>I have no axe to grind with either group, but think I see a
>distinction here.
>

>If you are just "on the air" and happen to run across someone in "your
>group", certainly you should be able to exchange numbers as a part of
>a QSO.
>

>If there is a short-term "contest period" in which you are only trying
>to make as many contacts as possible in a certain period, then you
>shouldn't be on the WARC "contest free" bands.
>

>Obviously the original rule was intended to prevent one group taking
>over an entire (relatively narrow) band for a full day or weekend.

>

>Like in so many cases, rules work best when a little common sense is
>applied. If it's random QSO's and you run across a compatriot, sure.
>If it's a high-intensity, all day or all weekend "contest", it should
>be on the other bands.

>

>73, Garey - K40AH

>Atlanta

>

>----- Original Message -----

>From: "brian" <brian@iquest.net>

>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

>Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 4:36 PM

>Subject: Re: [fpqrp] Here we go again

>

>

>> Pete,

>>

>> I agree to a point, I don't think ops should be contesting on WARC

>> BUT...what's the difference in calling CQ FP and CQ FISTS or are

>they

>> both wrong?

>>

>> I think they're both wrong...but in the course of a QSO, the ops

>> decide to exchange numbers, I don't see anything wrong with it. I

>> guess my problems lies in the CQ GROUP on WARC bands.

>>

>>

>> ----- Original Message -----

>> From: "Pete Burbank" <plburbank@earthlink.net>

>>

>>

>> > I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters.

>This

>> subject

>> > has been hashed over several times

>> > and I don't have time to dig out the regs.

>

>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 16:38:16 -0700

From: "KL7FDQ, Wayne Leman" <KL7FDQ@rangeweb.net>

To: <k5zty@juno.com>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [144563] Here we go again
Message-ID: <002801c2ba93\$bb235910\$023d1dac@waynecomputer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> The ARRL DXCC is a contest and they do that on 30 meters.

I'm guessing that ARRL does not view DXCC as a contest in the same way they view the ARRL 10 meter contest, ARRL International DX Contest, ARRL UHF Contest, CQ DX Contest, Ten-Ten Contest, North American QSO Party, etc.

I suspect they view DXCC like WAS, WAC, and similar "achievements." A contest is usually held for a specific period of time, announced ahead of time. It is a focused event. WAS, WAC, DXCC are not held at a specific time, such as the second weekend of January.

A contest creates a lot of crowding on a band(s) where the contest occurs. DXCC, WAS, WAC, and similar achievements are private "journeys", where an individual ham makes the contacts for the particular award over any period of time.

I guess it all depends on whether or not there is an announced time period which leads to the band crowding which is what the powers that be desire to avoid on the narrow WARC bands. If people are having ordinary QSOs and exchange FP numbers, there should be no problem with that on any band. If, however, FPs announce that they are going to collect FP numbers on a specific band during a specific period of time, then that should probably be avoided.

Musings,
Wayne

Wayne Leman
<http://www.qsl.net/k17fdq>

> They just
> recently added 30 meters as a certifiable DXCC band. If it is good enough
> for the ARRL it should be good enough for a bunch of flying pigs. I say
> FP WAP away. The pigs aren't going to clog up the band with 20Khz wide
> pileups and pileup police like the DXers do.
>
> Bill, K5ZTY
> Houston, TX

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 18:40:54 -0500
From: "brian" <brian@iquest.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [144564] Re: Here we go again
Message-ID: <001501c2ba94\$0d4b9ae0\$a4612bd1@bmurrey2K>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't know. I'm wishy washy on the whole thing.

CQ FISTS and CQ FP just signifies you're looking for a member of that group...I guess no different that CQ DX....so why shouldn't it be allowed on 30m?

I guess the important thing is just be courteous. Pause between QSO's to see if there is a station that would like a crack at the freq once in awhile...I don't know. Use good operating manners and I would imagine WARC bands will survive the WAP event.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jim Stamper" <jstamper@shentel.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 6:31 PM
Subject: Re: Here we go again

> Seems to me that WAP is pretty much the same as trying to work everybody
> with a FISTS number. Does this mean calling CQ FISTS on 30 M. is not OK?

>

> 73,

> jim-

> KG4LDY

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Pete Burbank" <plburbank@earthlink.net>
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
> Sent: Sunday, January 12, 2003 4:19 PM
> Subject: Here we go again

>

>

> > I noticed a few posts about Flying Pig operation on 30 Meters.
This
> subject
> > has been hashed over several times
> > and I don't have time to dig out the regs.
> > The bottom line is that
> > 30 is not a contest band.
> > The FP WAP is a contest.
> > I don't want to tick anyone off....just a note to keep us out of
trouble
> > 73 to all and happy oinking
> >
> >
>
>
>

Date: Sun, 12 Jan 2003 18:56:00 -0500
From: David Hinerman <WD8CIV@worldnet.att.net>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [144565] Re: [OT] What *IS* QRP?
Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.1.20030112163023.00b25aa0@postoffice.worldnet.att.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

At 03:21 PM 1/12/2003 -0500, you wrote:

>I suspect, that it isn't what does-or does not-/who is-or is not-"QRP"
>that is the problem... but rather, that some folks seem to believe that
>their particular sect of the QRP Brotherhood is the One True (AND ONLY)
>Path to Enlightenment.

Ed,

Reminds me of a story I heard on a broadcast once. (Names have been
fabricated to make a point, and are not intended to single out any one
person or group for ridicule.)

As I was walking across the bridge over the river one day, I saw a man who
had climbed over the rail and was standing on a ledge looking down into the
churning water below. "What are you doing?" I shouted to him.

"What does it matter?" he called back. "Nobody cares whether I'm here or

not. I'm going to jump."

"Why? Surely somebody cares. God cares!"

The man snorted. "Yeah, I used to go to church, long ago, but when I quit going nobody seemed to notice."

"Well, not all churches are like that. What church did you go to?"

"The Believers church," he said, "not that it matters."

"Hey, I go to a Believers church. Did you go to an Orthodox Believers church or a Reformed Believers church?"

"Orthodox Believers," he said.

"What a coincidence - I go to an Orthodox Believers church! Was it an American Orthodox Believers church or a Worldwide Orthodox Believers church?"

"American."

"Wow! I go to an American Orthodox Believers church! This is great! Was your church a Northern or Southern Conference church?"

"Northern Conference."

"Aw, this is just too good! I go to a Northern Conference American Orthodox Believers church! Was your church a Council of 1895 or a Council of 1897 church?"

"Council of 1897."

I was dumbstruck. Finally I found my voice. "Die heretic scum!" I shouted as I pushed him off the bridge.

I guess the biggest fights are among the people with the most in common.

Dave

Dave Hinerman
WD8CIV@att.net

End of QRP-L Digest 2798
